

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/811,057	Applicant(s) SEDERBERG, THOMAS W.	
	Examiner Peter-Anthony Pappas	Art Unit 2628	

All Participants:
Status of Application: Pending

 (1) Peter-Anthony Pappas.

(3) _____.

 (2) Steve M. Perry.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 27 October 2006
Time: ____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:


Claims discussed:

1, 3, 9, 12, 19

Prior art documents discussed:

Bakenov (T-Splines: Tensor Product B-Spline Surfaces with T-Junctions).
Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
An Examiner's Amendment to place the instant application into conditions for allowance was proposed, but agreement could not be reached.
Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)